STANDARD PROGRESS REPORT

No. and title: The Global Environment Facility's Small Grants Programme Reporting period: January – November 2018

I. PURPOSE

In 2018 the Global Environment Facility (GEF) Small Grants Programme (SGP) contributed to meet objectives related to poverty reduction and local empowerment as integral aspects of sustainable development and environmental protection. Conforming to the Country Programme Document strategic results and UNDAF Outcomes 7, the SGP focused on supporting sound management of natural resources and contribute to reduction of local poverty in accordance with the SDGs and Sustainable Development Program.

The SGP supports grassroots initiatives in the GEF focal areas of biodiversity conservation, climate change mitigation, protection of international waters, prevention of land degradation and safe management of POPs and other harmful chemicals. The SGP is implemented in decentralized, democratic, transparent and country-driven manner.

The National Steering Committee (NSC), the central element of the programme, is composed of a national government representative, UNDP Country Office Swiss Agency for Development and Co-operation and civil society members representing NGOs, academia and science, with a majority of them coming from non-governmental sector.

II. RESOURCES AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Country Operating Budget (SGP)

The administrative support to the SGP country programme in Armenia is provided through Country Operating Budget (COB). The operational budget for the SGP country programme in Armenia for 2018 calendar year made up US\$25,400.

UNDP TRAC Allocation

As part of the commitment to the SGP global, UNDP CO allocated US\$8,000 to the SGP country programme for 2018.

<u>Grant Allocation to the Country Programme</u> SGP Armenia was allocated US\$ 632,000 from OP6 STAR BD and CC focal areas.

III. RESULTS, PROGRESS

Within January-December 2018 the SGP held two NSC meetings, having approved 8 projects in the total amount of US\$338,742. In terms of resource mobilization, the country programme succeeded to mobilize the total of US\$267,404, out of which US\$126,860 in cash and US\$140,544 in-kind. Moreover, the Municipalities of Lernantsk, Katnajur and Gogaran communities of Lori region have channeled through SGP project ARM10 component their funds in the amount of US\$35,453 to co-finance two projects on introduction of "green" backyard gardening practices and sustainable use of water resources.

During the reporting period 26 projects were under implementation out of which 4 projects were successfully completed and 1 project was terminated. The reasons for project termination were low capacities of the NGO team to plan and carry out the envisaged activities, which could put at risk the overall project implementation.

The progress in implementation and country level results achieved so far include:

- Collaboration with GEF TF and REDD+-funded Mainstreaming Sustainable Land and Forest Management in Mountain Landscapes of North-eastern Armenia (SLM/SFM) project on use of SGP delivery mechanism has resulted in commitment of other 2 grant projects in the total amount of UD\$70,699.
- Based on successful experimentation of the pilot irrigation system supplying fish-farm discharge waters for irrigation in Hayanist, a set of policy recommendations was developed and submitted to the

ministries of Nature Protection; Agriculture; Territorial Administration and Development; and State Committee of Water Economy of the Republic of Armenia.

- A model energy-efficient heating and cooking stove piloted by the SGP, due to the application of a sink fund modality ensured manufacturing of 50 additional stoves (in addition to the initially envisaged 238 stoves). The model EE stove introduced by the project ensured saving of at least 20% of woodfire.
- As part of complementarity and synergy of its strategic directions with UNDP/UN System, donor-funded and NGO-led initiatives, SGP facilitated experience and knowledge exchange of SGP projects with the UNDP projects; provided parallel funding to establish PV power systems in 3 community pre-schools being established by the UNICEF-Armenia and came to an initial agreement with Coca-Cola HBC Armenia to co-finance the project on promotion of waste separation and recycling culture in Armenia.
- The article highlighting the SGP-funded project on wild berry collection and cultivation by women groups in Berd district of Tavush region was posted at UNDP Armenia website.

The NC continued holding a series of negotiations with donors, state agencies and public organizations for potential complementarities and partnerships for the pipelined SGP projects. Thus, initial and actual co-financing agreements were reached with a number of government partners and donors, such as WWF, UNICEF, USAID, Swiss Development Agency and others.

The ongoing projects have been monitored according to the set schedule, as well as upon necessity. The NSC members are actively involved in the monitoring, ex-post monitoring and pre-assessment of projects and regularly updated on the project progress and issues. The SGP M&E framework, which is laid down in the Country Programme Strategy, adopts participatory monitoring approach. In line with this principle, project community administration, local beneficiaries, project partners and stakeholders (representatives of respective donor organizations and state agencies) were also involved in the participatory monitoring.

The SGP team and the NSC members continued the consultation process with potential proponents and assisted in development of proposals. During consultations, the operational frameworks for the GEF SGP-Armenia including principles and procedures of the SGP implementation, selection criteria, grant application package and other relevant documents were presented.

Global and national SGP websites were regularly updated.

IV. GENDER MAINSTREAMING RESULTS

100% of SGP-funded projects addressed gender equity issues as a mandatory cross-cutting requirement. A designated gender focal point on the NSC provided expertise on gender issues and facilitated review of any gender components of projects. Out of 26 on-going projects, 13 are implemented by women-led NGOs.

V. RISKS LOG

See attached.

VI. LESSONS LEARNED

The practice of involving UNDP colleagues/experts, community heads/administration and partners proved to be very efficient for identifying and addressing project implementation issues and enhancing synergism with the UNDP implemented and pipelined projects.

VII. EVALUATIONS

N/A

VIII. INTERNATIONAL, BILATERAL COLLABORATION WITH OTHER COUNTRIES N/A

IX. PRODOC CHANGES, HORIZON SCANNING

N/A

X. ANNUAL VALIDATION OF RESULTS (FIELD VISIT) AND QUALITY ASSURANCE See attached

XI. FUTURE WORK PLAN

The SGP Armenia will move ahead with the GEF-6 grant-making process which includes the following major steps:

- Continuous support to project proponents (NGOs/CBOs) through project concepts development and formulation (with NSC involvement)
- Oversight and monitoring of the ongoing SGP projects (with NSC involvement)
- Continuous technical assistance and advise to the SGP on-going projects (with NSC involvement)
- Review and acceptance of grantees' progress and financial reporting
- Undertaking monitoring visits to the project sites and preparing subsequent monitoring records (with NSC involvement)
- Resource mobilization through partnership and co-funding opportunities from both traditional and nontraditional sources as follows:
- Assessment of interests and priorities of international donor and development agencies and identification of opportunities for partnership and co-financing;
- Attraction of private sector in SGP projects co-financing, also as a part of corporate social responsibility;
- Involvement of Armenian Diaspora in SGP projects co-financing;
- Mainstreaming SGP projects with UN agencies and GEF-funded larger projects;
- Exploring opportunities for complementarities and cost sharing with state-funded projects and initiatives at local level.

Output Verification Template

Date of visit: _ 26. 62. 2018

Subject and venue of visit: 00054966 - The GEF Small Grants Programme

Purpose of the field visit:

Outcomes	Update on outcomes	Outputs	Update on outputs	Reasons if progress below target	Update on partnership strategies	Recommendations and proposed action
By 2020 Sustainable Development principles and good practices for environmental sustainability resilience building, climate change adaptation and mitigation, and green economy are introduced and applied.	New technologies and practices introduced within the approved projects contribute to environmental sustainability at the local level	New production and consumption patterns are introduced; new "green" jobs are created	8 new projects commenced and are committed through MOAs; 3 SGP good practices are replicated and scaled-up	N/A	Serving as a delivery mechanism for GEF FS SLM/SFM project; resource mobilization from partner organizations and businesses to replicate and scale-up SGP successful initiatives through a range of strategic partnerships	During the OP7 portfolio planning exercise negotiate for Climate Change Mitigation and Land Degradation (instead of Biodiversity Conservation) thematic areas of GEF STAR funds. This is conditioned by the upcoming EUR 23 mln grant allocation for biodiversity conservation from KfW Bank to be utilized through an 8- year project.

PROJECT PERFORMANCE—IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

The project is implemented in line with its workplan; no implementations issues are observed.

PROGRESS TOWARDS RESULTS

During the reporting period the SGP managed to achieve the set targets.

LESSONS LEARNED

The practice of involving UNDP colleagues/experts, community heads/administration and partners proved to be very efficient for identifying and addressing project implementation issues and enhancing synergism with the UNDP implemented and pipelined projects.

Participants in the field visit:

Prepared by (Project Coordinator):	h.M.
(Hovhannes Ghazaryan)	p
Approved by:	
(Armen Martirosyan)	

Results Framework - PUT UPDATED PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK FROM PRODOC

UNDAF Outcome 7/CPD Outcome 4 (13): By 2020 Sustainable Development principles and good practices for environmental sustainability resilience building, climate change adaptation and mitigation, and green economy are introduced and applied.

Outcome indicator 4.5: Number of people or enterprises benefitting from application of 'green' technologies and 'green' jobs

Output 4.5: New production and consumption patterns are introduced; New "green" jobs are created.

Indicator 4.5.1 New patterns introduced. Number of 'green' jobs created.

Project title and Atlas Project Number: The GEF Small Grants Programme 00054966

EXPECTED OUTPUTS	OUTPUT INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	BASELINE		TARGETS (by frequency of data collection)		DATA COLLECTION METHODS & RISKS
			Value	Year	Target 2018	Result 2018	
GEF Small Grants Programme	1.1. Availability of institutional and organizational set-up for Small Grants Programme.	NSC meeting minutes; Annual Monitoring Records to SGP CPMT	Institutional and organizational set-up for Small Grants Programme is nonexistent	2007	The Country Programme Strategy for the 6th Operational Phase of the Global Environmental Finance 2015-2018 is under implementation.	The Country Programme Strategy for the 6th Operational Phase of the Global Environmental Finance is operational until mid-2019.	Country Programme Strategy development
	1.2 Number of environmental activities conducted by non-governmental organizations and community-based organizations.	NSC meeting minutes; Annual Monitoring Records to SGP CPMT; field visit reports	-	2007	8	8	Monitoring visits

Risk Category Impact & **Risk Treatment / Management Risk Owner** Description Probability Measures GUIDANCE: Enter a brief description of Describe the potential effect on the The person or entity Social and Environmental What actions have been taken/will be taken project if the future event were to the risk. Risk description Financial with the to manage this risk. should include future event Operational responsibility to occur. Organizational manage the risk. and cause. Political Enter probability based on 1-5 scale Risks identified through Regulatory (1 = Not likely; 5 = Expected)Strategic HACT, SES, Private Sector Enter impact based on 1-5 scale (1 = Due Diligence, and other Other assessments should be Low: 5 = Critical) included. Subcategories for each risk type should be consulted to understand each risk type (see Enterprise Risk Management Policy) CSO applicants capacities Social and Environmental Low participation of rural NGOs and Enhanced programme outreach through and expertise are insufficient Financial community-based organizations intensive consultations and project prefor proposal development in Operational (CBOs) in the SGP country assessment visits in regions. SGP-Armenia programme for regular GEF funding will use technical and human resources of line with GEF-SGP Organizational Political the regional resource centers to facilitate requirements P = access of the regional NGOs/CBOs to the Regulatory 1 = Strategic country programme. Other 1. Reduced support to the SGP 2 Reduction of UNDP TRAC Social and Environmental Risk remains unchanged. Negotiations are funds allocated in support of Financial country programme administration: held with UNOPS to support in the project Operational 2. Limited support to the local administration. the programme administration and projects Organizational initiatives in need of cash coco-financing may affect Political financing. P = smooth operation of the Regulatory SGP. Strategic 1 = Other S The internal political crisis Social and Environmental Project implementation delays and Programme management is continuously revision of project activities. which led to significant Financial monitoring grantees' projects progress, with changes in Government, Operational consideration of also some implementation may cause delays in project Organizational delays. implementation and delivery. Political Regulatory Strategic Other

OFFLINE RISK LOG – Include updated risk log from Prodoc and include also SESP risks

OFFLINE RISK LOG – Include updated risk log from Prodoc and include also SESP risks